UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN Re: AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ANTITRUST

LITIGATION

Master File No. 12-md-02311 Honorable Marianne O. Battani

In Re: WIRE HARNESS CASES

THIS RELATES TO: ALL DIRECT PURCHASER CASES

2:12-cv-00101-MOB-MKM

ORDER

AND NOW, upon consideration of Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Costs and Expenses (the "Motion"), the Memorandum in support thereof, and the declarations and exhibits attached thereto, and following a hearing on the Motion, it is hereby ORDERED that the Motion is GRANTED:

- 1. The Court has considered the relevant case law and authority and finds that awards of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses to the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs and their counsel are appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(h) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d)(2).
- 2. The Court engages in a two-part analysis when assessing the reasonableness of a petition seeking an award of attorneys' fees. *In re Cardinal Health Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 528 F. Supp. 2d 752, 760 (S.D. Ohio 2007). The Court first determines the method of calculating the attorneys' fees: it applies either the percentage of the fund approach or the lodestar method. *Id.*; *Van Horn v. Nationwide Prop. and Cas. Inc. Co.*, 436 Fed. Appx. 496, 498 (6th Cir. 2011).
- 3. The Court has the discretion to select the appropriate method for calculating attorneys' fees "in light of the unique characteristics of class actions in general, and of the unique

circumstances of the actual cases before them." *Rawlings v. Prudential-Bache Properties, Inc.*, 9 F.3d 513, 516 (6th Cir. 1993). In common fund cases, the award of attorneys' fees need only "be reasonable under the circumstances." *Id.*, 9 F.3d at 516. The Court has also analyzed and weighed the six factors described in *Ramey v. Cincinnati Enquirer, Inc.*, 508 F.2d 1188 (6th Cir. 1974).

- 4. The Court will award fees to Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' counsel using the percentage-of-the-fund approach. This method of awarding attorneys' fees is preferred in this district because it eliminates disputes about the reasonableness of rates and hours, conserves judicial resources, and aligns the interests of class counsel and the class members. *Rawlings*, 9 F.3d at 515; *Shane Group, Inc. v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan*, No. 10-cv-14360, 2015 WL 1498888, at *15 (E.D. Mich. March 31, 2015); *In re Packaged Ice Antitrust Litig.*, 08-MDL-01952, 2011 WL 6209188, at *16 (E.D. Mich. Dec. 13, 2011); *In re Delphi Corp. Sec. Derivative & ERISA Litig.*, 248 F.R.D. 483, 502 (E.D. Mich. 2008); *Cardinal*, 528 F. Supp. 2d at 762 (the Sixth Circuit has "explicitly approved the percentage approach in common fund cases."); *In re Skelaxin (Metaxalone) Antitrust Litig.*, 2014 WL 2946459, *1 (E.D. Tenn. Jun. 30, 2014). The total amount of the settlement funds before the Court is \$19,680,320.00.
- 5. The requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(h)(1) have been satisfied. Notice of the relief requested in the motion before the Court was mailed to 7,475 potential class members. In addition, a summary notice was published in *The Wall Street Journal* and *Automotive News*. Further, the notice is posted on the website dedicated to this litigation. There were no objections.
- 6. The Court grants the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' request for reimbursement of litigation expenses in the amount of \$42,229.56.
- 7. Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs request a fee award of $33\frac{1}{3}\%$ of the settlement funds. The $33\frac{1}{3}\%$ fee requested is within the range of fee awards made by courts in this

Circuit. *In re Prandin Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig.*, 2015 WL 1396473 (E.D. Mich. Jan. 20, 2015) (awarding one-third of the fund); *In re Southeastern Milk Antitrust Litig.*, 2013 WL 2155387, at *8 (E.D. Tenn. May 17, 2013); *Skelaxin*, 2014 WL 2946459, at *1; *Thacker v. Chesapeake Appalachia, L.L.C.*, 695 F. Supp. 2d, 521, 528 (E.D. Ky. 2010); *In re Packaged Ice Antitrust Litig.*, 2011 WL 6209188, at *19; *Bessey v. Packer and Plainwell, Inc.*, No. 4:06-CV-95, 2007 WL 3173972, at *4 (W.D. Mich. 2007); *Delphi*, 248 F.R.D. at 502-03; *In re National Century Financial Enterprises, Inc. Investment Litig.*, 2009 WL 1473975 (S.D. Ohio May 27, 2009); *Kogan v. AIMCO Fox Chase, L.P.*, 193 F.R.D. 496, 503 (E.D. Mich. 2000).

- 8. The Court has considered the six *Ramey* factors in weighing a fee award to counsel for the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs: (1) the value of the benefits rendered to the class; (2) society's stake in rewarding attorneys who produce such benefits in order to maintain an incentive to others; (3) whether the services were undertaken on a contingent fee basis; (4) the value of the services on an hourly basis [the lodestar cross-check]; (5) the complexity of the litigation; and (6) the professional skill and standing of counsel on both sides. 508 F.2d at 1194-97.
- 9. The settlement funds total \$19,680,320.00. The settlements in the Direct Purchaser Wire Harness case confer a substantial cash benefit on the members of the Settlement Classes and the value of the settlements is immediate and readily quantifiable.
- 10. Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Counsel vigorously and effectively pursued the Direct Purchasers' claims. These efforts included factual investigation, drafting complaints, briefing and arguing motions to dismiss and for summary judgment, reviewing and analyzing documents, interviewing witnesses and taking dozens of depositions in the United States and abroad, negotiating the terms of the settlements, and preparing the settlement documents.

- 11. The settlement amounts constitute a "common fund." It has long been recognized that attorneys who recover a common fund for others are entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees from that fund.
- 12. The results achieved provide a clear benefit to the Settlement Classes: an immediate and certain payment of \$19,680,320.00 plus accrued interest, less attorneys' fees, litigation costs and expenses, and notice and claims administration costs.
- 13. Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Counsel are operating on a contingency basis and bore a significant risk of non-payment in pursing these claims.
- 14. Antitrust actions are inherently complex. The legal and factual issues are complicated and highly uncertain in outcome. This case is no exception.
- 15. In the absence of these settlements, Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs would have been facing continued litigation against three, instead of one, Defendant groups. Indeed, the settling Defendants have agreed to cooperate with Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Counsel in connection with Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' claim against the non-settling Defendant.
- 16. As the Court has previously found, Interim Lead and Liaison Counsel are qualified to litigate class action antitrust claims, and they have performed their duties skillfully.
- 17. The reaction of the class members was favorable. The Settlement Classes are composed of sophisticated direct purchasers of Wire Harnesses and related parts, including parts suppliers, and manufacturers of motor vehicles. The absence of objections is an indication that an attorneys' fee of $33\frac{1}{3}\%$ is reasonable.
- 18. As of September 30, 2018, Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Counsel had devoted \$83,473,448.90 in professional time at their historical billing rates to pursuing this case for the Direct Purchasers.

4

19. The Court finds that Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Counsel performed their tasks

diligently, efficiently, and reasonably, and that their billing rates and lodestar are appropriate.

20. The Court conducted a lodestar "cross-check" with respect to the attorneys' fee

award. The fee requested, when combined with fees already awarded in earlier Wire Harness

settlements, represents 46% of the current lodestar, so there is no lodestar multiplier.

21. After considering the appropriate factors, the Court finds that attorneys' fees of

30% are reasonable. However, the Court finds it is appropriate to deduct litigation costs and

expenses before applying the 30% to the remainder of the settlement funds. The Court therefore

awards attorneys' fees to counsel for the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs in the amount of 30% of the

settlement funds after costs and expenses are deducted. Specifically, the Court awards a fee of

\$5,891,427.13, which is 30% of the \$19,680,320.00 settlement funds after deducting the Court's

award of reimbursement of costs and expenses of \$42,229.56.

23. Interim Lead Counsel are authorized to allocate among Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs'

Counsel the attorneys' fees and reimbursed litigation costs and expenses in accordance with each

firm's contribution to the prosecution of the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: November 21, 2018

s/Marianne O. Battani
MARIANNE O. BATTANI

United States District Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing Order was served upon counsel of record via the Court's ECF System to their respective email addresses or First Class U.S. mail to the non-ECF participants on

November 21, 2018.

s/ Kay Doaks Case Manager

5